

THE ROYAL
SWEDISH
ACADEMY OF
SCIENCES



**INSTITUT
MITTAG-LEFFLER**

Auravägen 17, SE-182 60 Djursholm, Sweden
Tel. +46 8 622 05 60 Fax. +46 8 622 05 89
info@mittag-leffler.se www.mittag-leffler.se

**An alternative proof of the linearity of the
size-Ramsey number of paths**

A. Dudek and P. Pralat

REPORT No. 14, 2013/2014, spring

ISSN 1103-467X

ISRN IML-R- -14-13/14- -SE+spring

AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF THE LINEARITY OF THE SIZE-RAMSEY NUMBER OF PATHS

ANDRZEJ DUDEK AND PAWEŁ PRAŁAT

ABSTRACT. The size-Ramsey number $\hat{r}(F)$ of a graph F is the smallest integer m such that there exists a graph G on m edges with the property that every colouring of the edges of G with two colours yields a monochromatic copy of F . In 1983, Beck provided a beautiful argument that shows that $\hat{r}(P_n)$ is linear, solving a problem of Erdős. In this note, we provide another proof of this fact that actually gives a better bound, namely, $\hat{r}(P_n) < 137n$ for n sufficiently large.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given two finite graphs F and G , we write $G \rightarrow F$ if *every* colouring of the edges of G with two colours (say blue and red) contains a monochromatic copy of F (that is, a copy that is either blue or red). The *size-Ramsey number* of a graph F , introduced by Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [7] in 1978, is defined as follows:

$$\hat{r}(F) = \min\{|E(G)| : G \rightarrow F\}.$$

In this note, we consider the size-Ramsey number of the path P_n on n vertices. It is obvious that $\hat{r}(P_n) = \Omega(n)$ and that $\hat{r}(P_n) = O(n^2)$ (for example, $K_{2n} \rightarrow P_n$), but the exact behaviour of $\hat{r}(P_n)$ was not known for a long time. In fact, Erdős [6] offered \$100 for a proof or disproof that

$$\hat{r}(P_n)/n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{r}(P_n)/n^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

This problem was solved by Beck [2] in 1983 who, quite surprisingly, showed that $\hat{r}(P_n) < 900n$ for sufficiently large n . A variant of his proof was provided by Bollobás [5]; it gives $\hat{r}(P_n) < 720n$ for sufficiently large n . These bounds are not given by explicit constructions; later Alon and Chung [1] gave an explicit construction of graphs G on $O(n)$ vertices with $G \rightarrow P_n$.

Here we provide an alternative and elementary proof of the linearity of the size-Ramsey number of paths that gives a better bound. The proof relies on a simple observation, Lemma 2.1, which may be applicable elsewhere.

Theorem 1.1. *For n sufficiently large, $\hat{r}(P_n) < 137n$.*

In order to show the result, similarly to Beck and Bollobás, we are going to use binomial random graphs. The *binomial random graph* $G(n, p)$ is the random graph G with vertex set $[n]$ in which every pair $\{i, j\} \in \binom{[n]}{2}$ appears independently as an edge in G with

Key words and phrases. Ramsey theory, size Ramsey number, random graphs.

The first author is supported in part by Simons Foundation Grant #244712 and by a grant from the Faculty Research and Creative Activities Award (FRACAA), Western Michigan University.

The second author is supported in part by NSERC and Ryerson University.

Work done during a visit to the Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden).

probability p . Note that $p = p(n)$ may, and usually does, tend to zero as n tends to infinity. Throughout, all asymptotics are as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We say that a sequence of events \mathcal{E}_n in a probability space holds *with high probability* (or *whp*) if the probability that \mathcal{E}_n holds tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For simplicity, we do not round numbers that are supposed to be integers either up or down; this is justified since these rounding errors are negligible to the asymptotic calculations we will make.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We start with the following elementary observation.¹

Lemma 2.1. *Let $c > 1$ be a real number and let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph on cn vertices. Suppose that every edge of G is coloured blue or red and that there is no monochromatic P_n . Then there exist disjoint sets $U, W \subseteq V$ of size $n(c-1)/2$ such that there is no blue edge between U and W .*

Proof. We perform the following algorithm on G to construct a blue path P . Let v_1 be an arbitrary vertex of G , let $P = (v_1)$, $U = V \setminus \{v_1\}$, and $W = \emptyset$. We investigate all edges from v_1 to U searching for a blue edge. If such an edge is found (say from v_1 to v_2), we extend the blue path as $P = (v_1, v_2)$ and remove v_2 from U . We continue extending the blue path P this way for as long as possible. Since there is no monochromatic P_n , we must reach a point of the process in which P cannot be extended, that is, there is a blue path from v_1 to v_k ($k < n$) and there is no blue edge from v_k to U . This time, v_k is moved to W and we try to continue extending the path from v_{k-1} , reaching another critical point in which another vertex will be moved to W , etc. If P is reduced to a single vertex v_1 and no blue edge to U is found, we move v_1 to W and simply re-start the process from another vertex from U , again arbitrarily chosen.

An obvious but important observation is that during this algorithm there is never a blue edge between U and W . Moreover, in each step of the process, the size of U decreases by 1 or the size of W increases by 1. Finally, since there is no monochromatic P_n , the number of vertices of the blue path P is always smaller than n . Hence, at some point of the process both U and W must have size at least $n(c-1)/2$. The result follows by removing some vertices from U or W , if needed, so that both sets have size precisely $n(c-1)/2$. \square

Now, we prove the following straightforward properties of random graphs. For disjoint sets S and T , $e(S, T)$ denotes the number of edges between S and T .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $c = 7.29$ and $d = 5.14$, and consider $G = (V, E) \in G(cn, d/n)$. Then, the following two properties hold whp:*

- (i) $|E(G)| = (1 + o(1))nc^2d/2 < 137n$,
- (ii) *for every two disjoint sets of vertices S and T such that $|S| = |T| = n(c-3)/4$ we have $e(S, T) > 0$.*

Proof. Part (i) is obvious. The expected number of edges in G is $\binom{cn}{2} \frac{d}{n} = (1 + o(1))nc^2d/2$, and concentration around the expectation follows immediately from Chernoff's bound.

¹A similar result was independently obtained by Pokrovskiy [10].

For part (ii), let X be the number of pairs of disjoint sets S and T of the desired size such that $e(S, T) = 0$. Setting $\alpha = \alpha(c) = (c - 3)/4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X] &= \binom{cn}{\alpha n} \binom{(c-\alpha)n}{\alpha n} \left(1 - \frac{d}{n}\right)^{\alpha n \cdot \alpha n} \\ &\leq \frac{(cn)!}{(\alpha n)! (\alpha n)! ((c-2\alpha)n)!} \exp(-d\alpha^2 n). \end{aligned}$$

Using Stirling's formula ($x! \sim \sqrt{2\pi x}(x/e)^x$) we see that $\mathbb{E}[X] \leq \exp(f(c, d)n)$, where

$$f(c, d) = c \ln c - 2\alpha \ln \alpha - (c - 2\alpha) \ln(c - 2\alpha) - d\alpha^2.$$

For $c = 7.29$ and $d = 5.14$, we have $f(c, d) < -0.008$ and so $\mathbb{E}[X] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. (The values of c and d were chosen so as to minimize $c^2 d/2$ under the condition $f(c, d) < 0$.) Now part (ii) follows by Markov's inequality. \square

Now, we are ready to prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $c = 7.29$ and $d = 5.14$, and consider $G = (V, E) \in G(cn, d/n)$. We show that whp $G \rightarrow P_n$ which will finish the proof by Lemma 2.2(i).

Suppose that $G \not\rightarrow P_n$. Thus, there is a blue-red colouring of E with no monochromatic P_n . It follows (deterministically) from Lemma 2.1 that V can be partitioned into three sets P, U, W such that $|P| = n$, $|U| = |W| = n(c-1)/2$, and there is no blue edge between U and W . Similarly, V can be partitioned into three sets P', U', W' such that $|P'| = n$, $|U'| = |W'| = n(c-1)/2$, and there is no red edge between U' and W' .

Now, consider $X = U \cap U', Y = U \cap W', X' = W \cap U', Y' = W \cap W'$ and let $x = |X|, y = |Y|, x' = |X'|, y' = |Y'|$. Observe that

$$x + y = |U \cap (U' \cup W')| = |U \setminus P'| \geq |U| - |P'| = n(c-3)/2. \quad (1)$$

Similarly, one can show that $x' + y' \geq n(c-3)/2$, $x + x' \geq n(c-3)/2$, and that $y + y' \geq n(c-3)/2$. We say that a set is *large* if its size is at least $n(c-3)/4$; otherwise, it is *small*.

Claim. Either both X and Y' are large or both Y and X' are large.

Proof of the claim. Suppose that at least one of X, Y' is small and at least one of Y, X' is small, say, X and Y are small. Then $x + y < n(c-3)/4 + n(c-3)/4 = n(c-3)/2$, which contradicts (1). The remaining three cases are symmetric, and so the claim holds.

Now, let us return to the proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $X = U \cap U'$ and $Y' = W \cap W'$ are large. Since $X \subseteq U$ and $Y' \subseteq W$, there is no blue edge between X and Y' . Similarly, since $X \subseteq U'$ and $Y' \subseteq W'$ there is no red edge between X and Y' , and so $e(X, Y') = 0$, so G does not have the property in Lemma 2.2(ii). Since, by Lemma 2.2, G does have this property whp, we deduce that whp $G \rightarrow P_n$, as required. \square

3. REMARKS

In this note we showed that $\hat{r}(P_n) < 137n$. The best known lower bound, $\hat{r}(P_n) \geq (1 + \sqrt{2})n - 2$, was given by Bollobás [4], who improved the previous result of Beck [3] that $\hat{r}(P_n) \geq \frac{9}{4}n$. Decreasing the gap between the lower and upper bounds might be of some interest. One approach to improving the upper bound could be to deal with non-symmetric cases in our claim or to use random d -regular graphs instead of binomial graphs.

Another related problem deals with longest monochromatic paths in $G(n, p)$. Observe that it follows from the proof of Theorem 1.1 that for every $\omega = \omega(n)$ tending to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have that whp any 2-colouring of the edges of $G(n, \omega/n)$ yields a monochromatic path of length $\frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{3}n$ for an arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$. On the other hand, a simple construction of Gerencsér and Gyárfás [8] shows that such a path cannot be longer than $\frac{2}{3}n$. We conjecture that actually $(1 + o(1))\frac{2}{3}n$ is the right answer for random graphs with average degree tending to infinity.²

4. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank to the referees and editors for their valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alon and F.R.K. Chung, *Explicit construction of linear sized tolerant networks*, Discrete Math. **72** (1988), 15–19.
- [2] J. Beck, *On size Ramsey number of paths, trees, and circuits I*, J. Graph Theory **7** (1983), 115–129.
- [3] J. Beck, *On size Ramsey number of paths, trees and circuits II*, Mathematics of Ramsey theory, 34–45, Algorithms Combin. **5**, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [4] B. Bollobás, *Extremal graph theory with emphasis on probabilistic methods*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. **62** (1986), viii+64 pp.
- [5] B. Bollobás, *Random Graphs*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [6] P. Erdős, *On the combinatorial problems which I would most like to see solved*, Combinatorica **1** (1981), no. 1, 25–42.
- [7] P. Erdős, R. Faudree, C. Rousseau, and R. Schelp, *The size Ramsey number*, Period. Math. Hungar. **9** (1978), no. 1-2, 145–161.
- [8] L. Gerencsér and A. Gyárfás, *On Ramsey-type problems*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. **10** (1967), 167–170.
- [9] S. Letzter, *Path Ramsey number for random graphs*, ArXiv e-prints, (2014).
- [10] A. Pokrovskiy, *Partitioning edge-coloured complete graphs into monochromatic cycles and paths*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **106** (2014), 70–97.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, KALAMAZOO, MI, USA
E-mail address: andrzej.dudek@wmich.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RYERSON UNIVERSITY, TORONTO, ON, CANADA
E-mail address: pralat@ryerson.ca

²The conjecture was recently proved by Letzter [9].