

THE ROYAL
SWEDISH
ACADEMY OF
SCIENCES



**INSTITUT
MITTAG-LEFFLER**

Auravägen 17, SE-182 60 Djursholm, Sweden
Tel. +46 8 622 05 60 Fax. +46 8 622 05 89
info@mittag-leffler.se www.mittag-leffler.se

**Nondegeneracy in the parabolic obstacle
problem with a degenerate force term**

K. Yeressian Negarchi

REPORT No. 7, 2014/2015, fall

ISSN 1103-467X

ISRN IML-R- -7-14/15- -SE+fall

NONDEGENERACY IN THE PARABOLIC OBSTACLE PROBLEM WITH A DEGENERATE FORCE TERM

KAREN YERESSIAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the optimal nondegeneracy inequality for the solution of the parabolic variational inequality

$$\Delta u - \partial_t u = f\chi_{\{u>0\}} \text{ in } D \times (0, T],$$

where the main assumption on the force term $f(x)$ is the lower bound $f(x) \geq \lambda|x'|^\alpha$ where $\alpha > 0$, $\lambda > 0$, $1 \leq p \leq n$ is an integer, $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. We show that there exists a $C > 0$ such that if $u(x^0, t^0) = 0$ and $Q_r^-(x^0, t^0) \subset\subset D \times (0, T]$ then

$$\sup_{\Omega \cap \partial_P Q_r^-(x^0, t^0)} u \geq C\lambda r^2(|(x^0)'|^\alpha + r^\alpha)$$

where $Q_r^-(x, t) = B_r(x) \times (t - r^2, t]$ and $\partial_P Q_r^-(x, t) = (\partial B_r(x) \times [t - r^2, t]) \cup (B_r(x) \times \{t - r^2\})$. Using the nondegeneracy result we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the noncoincidence set as time grows.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [6] the optimal nondegeneracy of the solution of an elliptic obstacle problem with a degenerate force term has been established. This result plays an important role in the study of the regularity of the free boundary in such problems, cf. [7]. In this paper our aim is to extend this optimal nondegeneracy result to the case of parabolic obstacle problem.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a bounded domain. Let $f \in L^\infty(D)$ such that $f \geq 0$ a.e. in D . Let $u_0 \in L^2(D)$ such that $u_0 \geq 0$ a.e. in D . Let $g \in L^\infty(0, T, W^{2,\infty}(D))$, $\partial_t g \in L^\infty(D \times (0, T))$ and $0 \leq g \leq M$ a.e. in D for some $M > 0$.

As described in Section 3, there exists a unique $u \in g + L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$ such that $\partial_t u \in L^2(0, T, H^{-1}(D))$, $u(0) = u_0$, $u \geq 0$ a.e. in $D \times (0, T]$ and

$$\langle \partial_t u, v - u \rangle + \int_D \nabla u \cdot \nabla (v - u) dx + \int_D f(x)(v - u) dx \geq 0$$

for a.e. $0 < t < T$ where $v \in g + L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$ and $v \geq 0$ a.e. in $D \times (0, T]$. Also we have that $u \in C(D \times (0, T])$.

Let us denote by Ω the noncoincidence set

$$\Omega = \left\{ (x, t) \in D \times (0, T] \mid u(x, t) > 0 \right\}.$$

In the case when the force term is bounded away from zero by a positive constant, i.e. $f \geq \lambda$ for some constant $\lambda > 0$ it is known that one has optimal quadratic nondegeneracy of the solution, cf. [1]. As for the elliptic case, in this paper by requiring f to grow away from its zeros as a power function, we still obtain the appropriate optimal nondegeneracy estimate.

Date: August 29, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35R35; Secondary 35K55.

Key words and phrases. Free boundary, Parabolic obstacle problem, Degenerate, Optimal nondegeneracy, Asymptotic behavior.

Let p be an integer such that $1 \leq p \leq n$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ be positive real numbers. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and we denote $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$. We also define the parabolic lower half-cylinder $Q_r^-(x, t) = B_r(x) \times (t - r^2, t]$ and its parabolic boundary $\partial_P Q_r^-(x, t) = (\partial B_r(x) \times [t - r^2, t]) \cup (B_r(x) \times \{t - r^2\})$.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Optimal Nondegeneracy). *There exists a $C > 0$ such that if $f \geq \lambda|x'|^\alpha$ a.e. in D , u is a solution in $D \times (0, T]$, $(x^0, t^0) \in \Omega$ and $Q_r^-(x^0, t^0) \subset\subset D \times (0, T]$ then*

$$\sup_{\Omega \cap \partial_P Q_r^-(x^0, t^0)} u \geq u(x^0, t^0) + C\lambda r^2(|(x^0)'|^\alpha + r^\alpha).$$

The proof is based on the construction of appropriate comparison functions using homogeneous harmonic and caloric polynomials.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, the main notations used in this paper have been enlisted. In Section 3, the known existence, uniqueness and regularity of the solution of the parabolic obstacle problem studied in this paper has been briefly described. In Section 4, the nondegeneracy result is proved. In Section 5, in the case when the boundary data g is independent of t , as an application of the nondegeneracy result we investigate the asymptotic behavior of the set $A_\epsilon(t) \cup \Omega$ as t grows where $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(1.1) \quad A_\epsilon(t) = (D_\epsilon \times (t, T])^c$$

and for $\eta > 0$

$$(1.2) \quad D_\eta = \left\{ x \in D \mid \text{dist}(x, D^c) > \eta \right\}.$$

2. NOTATION

C, C_1, C_2	generic constants;
H	$\Delta - \partial_t$ (the heat operator);
$B_r(x), B$	$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y - x < r\}, B_1(0)$;
$Q_r(x, t)$	$B_r(x) \times (t - r^2, t + r^2)$ parabolic cylinder;
$Q_r^-(x, t)$	$B_r(x) \times (t - r^2, t]$ parabolic lower half-cylinder;
$\partial_P Q_r^-(x, t)$	$(\partial B_r(x) \times [t - r^2, t]) \cup (B_r(x) \times \{t - r^2\})$;
$d_P^-(x, t), A$	$\sup\{r \geq 0 \mid Q_r^-(x, t) \subset A^c\}$;
f^+, f^-	$\max(0, f), \max(0, -f)$;
$\subset\subset$	compactly contained;

3. EXISTENCE, UNIQUENESS AND REGULARITY

In this section we review the known results about the existence, uniqueness and regularity of solutions to our parabolic variational inequality.

3.1. Existence and uniqueness. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\chi_\epsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\chi_\epsilon(z) = 0$ for $z \leq -\epsilon$, $0 \leq \chi_\epsilon(z) \leq 1$ for $-\epsilon < z < 0$ and $\chi_\epsilon(z) = 1$ for $z \geq 0$.

Using that χ_ϵ is Lipschitz continuous and uniformly bounded, by Galerkin method (cf. [2]) together with the Aubin compactness theorem [5] we might establish the existence of a unique v_ϵ such that

$$v_\epsilon \in C([0, T], L^2(D)) \cap L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D)), \quad \partial_t v_\epsilon \in L^2(0, T, H^{-1}(D)),$$

$v_\epsilon(0) = u_0 - g(0)$ and

$$(3.1) \quad H v_\epsilon = -H g + f \chi_\epsilon(v_\epsilon + g) \text{ in } H^{-1}(D) \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0, T).$$

By testing with the function $(v_\epsilon + g + \epsilon)^- \chi_{0 < t < s}$ where $0 < s < T$ and using that $\chi_\epsilon(z) = 0$ for $z \leq -\epsilon$ we obtain that

$$(3.2) \quad v_\epsilon \geq -g - \epsilon \text{ a.e. in } D \times (0, T).$$

Let $w \in L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$ such that $w + g \geq 0$ a.e. in $D \times (0, T]$. Using $w + g \geq 0$, $f \geq 0$, $\chi_\epsilon \leq 1$ and $\chi_\epsilon(z) = 1$ for $z \geq 0$ we might show that

$$(3.3) \quad - \int_D f(x) \chi_\epsilon(v_\epsilon + g)(w - v_\epsilon) dx \geq - \int_D f(x)(w - v_\epsilon) dx.$$

Testing the equation (3.1) by $w - v_\epsilon$ and using (3.3) we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \langle H v_\epsilon + H g, w - v_\epsilon \rangle \leq \langle f, w - v_\epsilon \rangle,$$

here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the duality pairing between $L^2(0, T, H^{-1}(D))$ and $L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$.

Also from (3.1) it follows that for $\varphi \in L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$ such that $\varphi \geq 0$ a.e. in $D \times (0, T]$ we have

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \leq \langle H v_\epsilon + H g, \varphi \rangle.$$

Using (3.1) it is easy to see that we have uniform in ϵ bounds for v_ϵ in $L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$ and for $\partial_t v_\epsilon$ in $L^2(0, T, H^{-1}(D))$. Also again by Aubin compactness theorem we have that $\{v_\epsilon \mid \epsilon > 0\}$ is a precompact set in $L^2(D \times (0, T))$. It follows that there exists a sequence $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ and $v \in L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$ with $\partial_t v \in L^2(0, T, H^{-1}(D))$ such that $v_{\epsilon_k} \rightarrow v$ weakly in $L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$, strongly in $L^2(0, T, L^2(D))$ and $\partial_t v_{\epsilon_k} \rightarrow \partial_t v$ weakly in $L^2(0, T, H^{-1}(D))$.

We might now pass to the limit in (3.4) and (3.5) and obtain that for $w \in L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$ such that $w + g \geq 0$ a.e. in $D \times (0, T]$ we have

$$(3.6) \quad \langle H v + H g, w - v \rangle \leq \langle f, w - v \rangle$$

and for $\varphi \in L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$ such that $\varphi \geq 0$ a.e. in $D \times (0, T]$ we have

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \leq \langle H v + H g, \varphi \rangle.$$

From (3.2) it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad v + g \geq 0 \text{ a.e. in } D \times (0, T).$$

Taking $\varphi \in L^2(0, T, H_0^1(D))$ such that $\varphi \geq 0$ a.e. in $D \times (0, T]$ and defining $w = v + \varphi$, we have that $w + g \geq 0$ a.e. in $D \times (0, T]$, thus from (3.6) we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \langle H v + H g, \varphi \rangle \leq \langle f, \varphi \rangle.$$

From (3.7) and (3.9) we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \leq H v + H g \leq f \text{ as distributions in } D \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0, T).$$

By the inequality $0 \leq H v + H g = H(v + g)$ and the bound $g \leq M$ we obtain that $v + g \leq M$ a.e. in $D \times (0, T]$.

Let $0 < \delta < T$. Then by interior L^p regularity estimates (cf. [3, p. 175]) for the heat equation and the inequalities in (3.10) it follows that for every $p > 2$ we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t v\|_{L^p(D_\delta \times (\delta, T))} + \|v\|_{L^p(\delta, T, W^{2,p}(D_\delta))} \\ & \leq C_1 (\|v\|_{L^p(D \times (0, T))} + \|H v\|_{L^p(D \times (0, T))}) \\ & \leq C_2 (\|v\|_{L^\infty(D \times (0, T))} + \|H v\|_{L^\infty(D \times (0, T))}) \\ & \leq C_3 (\|v\|_{L^\infty(D \times (0, T))} + \|H g\|_{L^\infty(D \times (0, T))} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(D)}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimates in (3.11) one may show that $v \in C([2\delta, T], W^{1,p}(D_{2\delta}))$. In particular if $p > n$ then by Sobolev embeddings $v \in C([2\delta, T], C^{0,\alpha}(D_{2\delta}))$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ and thus $v \in C(D_{2\delta} \times [2\delta, T])$. Because $0 < \delta < T$ is arbitrary we obtain that $v \in C(D \times (0, T])$ with uniform continuity in $\overline{D_\delta} \times [\delta, T]$ for small enough $\delta > 0$.

Now let us denote $u = v + g$. Considering $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $u \geq \varphi \geq 0$ and taking $w = v - \varphi$ in (3.6), together with (3.9) we obtain $\langle H v + H g, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \varphi \rangle$. Thus we have

$$H u = f \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Now we have a well established solution of our parabolic variational inequality and also we have

$$f\chi_\Omega \leq Hu \leq f \text{ in } D \times (0, T].$$

3.2. Regularity. Let $0 \leq f \leq \Lambda$ and $u \leq M$ in $D \times (0, T]$.

Using (3.10) by a similar method as the optimal regularity estimate (cf. [4]) for elliptic obstacle problem there exists a $C > 0$ (depending only on n) such that if $Q_r^-(x^0, t^0) \subset D \times (0, T]$ and $u(x^0, t^0) = 0$ then

$$(3.12) \quad u(x, t) \leq C\Lambda r^2 \text{ for } (x, t) \in Q_{\frac{r}{2}}^-(x^0, t^0).$$

As demonstrated in [1] using the quadratic growth (3.12) there exists a $C > 0$ (depending only on n) such that if $Q_R^-(x^0, t^0) \subset D \times (0, T]$ and $u(x^0, t^0) = 0$ then for $0 < r < R$ we have

$$(3.13) \quad u(x, t) \leq C \max\left(\Lambda, \frac{M}{R^2}\right) r^2 \text{ for } (x, t) \in Q_r(x^0, t^0) \cap (D \times (0, T]).$$

Using (3.13) one may show that there exists a $C > 0$ (depending only on n) such that if $(x^1, t^1) \in \Omega$, $d = d_P^-(x^1, t^1, (D \times (0, T]) \setminus \Omega)$, $\epsilon > 0$, $Q_\epsilon^-(x^1, t^1) \subset D \times (0, T]$ and $3d < \epsilon$ then

$$(3.14) \quad u(x, t) \leq C \max\left(\Lambda, \frac{M}{\epsilon^2}\right) d^2 \text{ for } (x, t) \in Q_d^-(x^1, t^1).$$

By (3.14) and interior regularity estimates for caloric functions one may show that there exists a $C > 0$ (depending only on n) such that if there exists $\psi \in C^{1,1}(D)$ such that $\Delta\psi = f$ then $u \in C_{x,loc}^{1,1}(D \times (0, T]) \cap C_{t,loc}^{0,1}(D \times (0, T])$ and for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ the following estimate holds

$$(3.15) \quad \|u\|_{C_t^{0,1}(D_\epsilon \times (\epsilon^2, T])} + \|u\|_{C_x^{1,1}(D_\epsilon \times (\epsilon^2, T])} \leq C \max(\|\psi\|_{C^{1,1}(D)}, \frac{M}{\epsilon^2}).$$

4. OPTIMAL NONDEGENERACY

In [6] we have defined

$$\psi(y) = \frac{1}{(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + p)} |y|^{\alpha+2} \text{ for } y \in \mathbb{R}^p,$$

$$w_{y^0}(y) = \psi(y) - \psi(y^0) - \nabla\psi(y^0) \cdot (y - y^0) \text{ for } y^0, y \in \mathbb{R}^p,$$

and

$$p_{2k}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k a_j x_1^{2j} |x|^{2k-2j} \text{ for } k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $a_0 = 1$ and for $1 \leq j \leq k$ the coefficients a_j are chosen such that p_{2k} is harmonic.

In [6] we have proved that there exists $C > 0$ such that for $y, y^0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ we have

$$(4.1) \quad w_{y^0}(y) \geq C|y - y^0|^2 (|y^0|^\alpha + |y - y^0|^\alpha),$$

there exist $a > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for $x, x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad w_{(x^0)', (x^0)'}(x') + a|(x^0)'|^\alpha p_{2k}(x - x^0) \geq C|(x^0)'|^\alpha |x - x^0|^2$$

and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2k \geq 2 + \alpha$ there exist $b > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for $r > 0$, $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in B_r(x^0)$ we have

$$(4.3) \quad w_{(x^0)', (x^0)'}(x') + \frac{b}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} p_{2k}(x - x^0) \geq C \frac{|x - x^0|^{2k}}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}}.$$

For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ let us define

$$\tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1, t) = \sum_{j=0}^k \tilde{a}_j x_1^{2j} t^{k-j} \text{ for } t < 0 \text{ and } x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $\tilde{a}_0 = 1$ and for $1 \leq j \leq k$

$$2j(2j-1)\tilde{a}_j - (k-j+1)\tilde{a}_{j-1} = 0.$$

By these choice of the coefficients we have that \tilde{p}_{2k} is caloric.

Lemma 1. *Let $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ then there exist $c > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$|x_1|^{2k} + c(-1)^k \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1, t) \geq C(|x_1|^{2k} + |t|^k) \text{ for } t < 0 \text{ and } x_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. For $k = 0$ the claim is trivial. Let us assume that $k \geq 1$. We write

$$\tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1, t) = t^k + q_k(x_1, t)$$

where

$$q_k(x_1, t) = \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{a}_j x_1^{2j} t^{k-j}.$$

For $x_1 = 0$ we have $q_k(0, t) = 0$ and for $x_1 \neq 0$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad |q_k(x_1, t)| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{a}_j x_1^{2j} t^{k-j} \right| = |x_1|^{2k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \tilde{a}_j \left(\frac{t}{x_1^2}\right)^{k-j} \right| \\ &\leq |x_1|^{2k} \sum_{j=1}^k |\tilde{a}_j| \left|\frac{t}{x_1^2}\right|^{k-j} \leq |x_1|^{2k} \left(C_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left|\frac{t}{x_1^2}\right|^k\right) = C_1 |x_1|^{2k} + \frac{1}{2} |t|^k. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.4) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad (-1)^k \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1, t) &= (-t)^k + (-1)^k q_k(x_1, t) \\ &= |t|^k + (-1)^k q_k(x_1, t) \geq |t|^k - |q_k(x_1, t)| \\ &\geq |t|^k - \left(C_1 |x_1|^{2k} + \frac{1}{2} |t|^k\right) = \frac{1}{2} |t|^k - C_1 |x_1|^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Now using (4.5) by choosing $\frac{1}{4C_1} \leq c \leq \frac{1}{2C_1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x_1|^{2k} + c(-1)^k \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1, t) &\geq |x_1|^{2k} + c \left(\frac{1}{2} |t|^k - C_1 |x_1|^{2k}\right) \\ &= (1 - cC_1) |x_1|^{2k} + \frac{c}{2} |t|^k \geq \frac{1}{2} |x_1|^{2k} + \frac{1}{8C_1} |t|^k \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 2. *There exist $\tilde{a} > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$(4.6) \quad w_{(x^0)', (x')} - \tilde{a} |(x^0)'|^\alpha \tilde{p}_2(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) \geq C |(x^0)'|^\alpha (|x_1 - x_1^0|^2 + (t^0 - t))$$

for all $t < t^0$ and $x, x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Let $C_1 > 0$ be the constant in (4.1) and $c > 0$ as in Lemma 1 for $k = 1$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} w_{(x^0)', (x')} - C_1 c |(x^0)'|^\alpha \tilde{p}_2(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) &\geq C_1 |(x^0)'|^\alpha |x' - (x^0)'|^2 - C_1 c |(x^0)'|^\alpha \tilde{p}_2(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) \\ &= C_1 |(x^0)'|^\alpha (|x' - (x^0)'|^2 - c \tilde{p}_2(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0)) \\ &\geq C_2 |(x^0)'|^\alpha (|x_1 - x_1^0|^2 + |t - t^0|) \\ &= C_2 |(x^0)'|^\alpha (|x_1 - x_1^0|^2 + (t^0 - t)) \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2k \geq 2 + \alpha$ then there exist $\tilde{b} > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$(4.7) \quad w_{(x^0)',(x')} + \frac{\tilde{b}(-1)^k}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) \\ \geq \frac{C}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} ((t^0 - t)^k + |x_1 - x_1^0|^{2k})$$

for $t < t^0$, $r > 0$, $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in B_r(x^0)$.

Proof. Because $x \in B_r(x^0)$ we have $|x_1 - x_1^0| < r$ and also because $2k \geq 2 + \alpha$ we have

$$r^{2k-(2+\alpha)} |x_1 - x_1^0|^{2+\alpha} \geq |x_1 - x_1^0|^{2k}.$$

Let $C_1 > 0$ be the constant in (4.1) and $c > 0$ as in Lemma 1. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} w_{(x^0)',(x')} + \frac{C_1 c (-1)^k}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) \\ \geq C_1 |x_1 - x_1^0|^{2+\alpha} + \frac{C_1 c (-1)^k}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) \\ = \frac{C_1}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \left(r^{2k-(2+\alpha)} |x_1 - x_1^0|^{2+\alpha} + c (-1)^k \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) \right) \\ \geq \frac{C_1}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \left(|x_1 - x_1^0|^{2k} + c (-1)^k \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) \right) \\ \geq \frac{C_2}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} (|x_1 - x_1^0|^{2k} + |t - t^0|^k) \\ = \frac{C_2}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} (|x_1 - x_1^0|^{2k} + (t^0 - t)^k) \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2k \geq \alpha + 2$. For $c, r > 0$, $0 < t < t^0$ and $x, x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let us define

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, t) = w_{(x^0)',(x')} + \frac{a}{4} |(x^0)'|^\alpha p_2(x - x^0) + \frac{b}{4} \frac{1}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} p_{2k}(x - x^0) \\ - \frac{\tilde{a}}{4} |(x^0)'|^\alpha \tilde{p}_2(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) + \frac{\tilde{b}}{4} \frac{(-1)^k}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $Hv = |x'|^\alpha$ and $v(x^0, t^0) = 0$. Using (4.2), (4.3), (4.6) and (4.7) for $t < t^0$, $x \in B_r(x^0)$ and small enough $C > 0$ we have

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} v(x, t) = w_{(x^0)',(x')} + \frac{a}{4} |(x^0)'|^\alpha p_2(x - x^0) + \frac{b}{4} \frac{1}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} p_{2k}(x - x^0) \\ - \frac{\tilde{a}}{4} |(x^0)'|^\alpha \tilde{p}_2(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) + \frac{\tilde{b}}{4} \frac{(-1)^k}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0) \\ = \frac{1}{4} (w_{(x^0)',(x')} + a |(x^0)'|^\alpha p_2(x - x^0)) \\ + \frac{1}{4} (w_{(x^0)',(x')} + \frac{b}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} p_{2k}(x - x^0)) \\ + \frac{1}{4} (w_{(x^0)',(x')} - \tilde{a} |(x^0)'|^\alpha \tilde{p}_2(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0)) \\ + \frac{1}{4} (w_{(x^0)',(x')} + \frac{\tilde{b}(-1)^k}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \tilde{p}_{2k}(x_1 - x_1^0, t - t^0)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \frac{C}{4} |(x^0)'|^\alpha |x - x^0|^2 + \frac{C}{4} \frac{|x - x^0|^{2k}}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{4} |(x^0)'|^\alpha (|x_1 - x_1^0|^2 + (t^0 - t)) \\
&+ \frac{C}{4} \frac{1}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} (|x_1 - x_1^0|^{2k} + (t^0 - t)^k) \\
&\geq \frac{C}{4} |(x^0)'|^\alpha |x - x^0|^2 + \frac{C}{4} \frac{|x - x^0|^{2k}}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \\
&\quad + \frac{C}{4} |(x^0)'|^\alpha (t^0 - t) + \frac{C}{4} \frac{1}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} (t^0 - t)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.8) it follows that for $(x, t) \in Q_r^-(x^0, t^0)$ we have $v(x, t) \geq 0$.

From (4.8) for $(x, t) \in \partial B_r(x^0) \times [t^0 - r^2, t^0]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad v(x, t) &\geq \frac{C}{4} \left(|(x^0)'|^\alpha |x - x^0|^2 + \frac{|x - x^0|^{2k}}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \right) \\
&= \frac{C}{4} \left(|(x^0)'|^\alpha r^2 + \frac{r^{2k}}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} \right) \\
&= \frac{C}{4} \left(|(x^0)'|^\alpha r^2 + r^{2+\alpha} \right) = \frac{C}{4} r^2 \left(|(x^0)'|^\alpha + r^\alpha \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.8) for $(x, t) \in B_r(x^0) \times \{t^0 - r^2\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.10) \quad v(x, t) &\geq \frac{C}{4} \left(|(x^0)'|^\alpha (t^0 - t) + \frac{1}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} (t^0 - t)^k \right) \\
&= \frac{C}{4} \left(|(x^0)'|^\alpha r^2 + \frac{1}{r^{2k-(2+\alpha)}} r^{2k} \right) \\
&= \frac{C}{4} \left(|(x^0)'|^\alpha r^2 + r^{2+\alpha} \right) = \frac{C}{4} r^2 \left(|(x^0)'|^\alpha + r^\alpha \right).
\end{aligned}$$

From (4.9) and (4.10) for $(x, t) \in \partial_P Q_r^-(x^0, t^0)$ we have

$$v(x, t) \geq \frac{C}{4} r^2 \left(|(x^0)'|^\alpha + r^\alpha \right).$$

Let us define

$$h(x, t) = u(x, t) - u(x^0, t^0) - \lambda v(x, t).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Hh &= f - \lambda |x'|^\alpha \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \\
h(x^0, t^0) &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

and for $(x, t) \in \{u = 0\} \cap \overline{Q_r^-(x^0, t^0)}$

$$h(x, t) = -u(x^0, t^0) - \lambda v(x, t) \leq -u(x^0, t^0) < 0.$$

Applying the maximum principle we have

$$0 = h(x^0, t^0) \leq \sup_{\partial_P(\Omega \cap Q_r^-(x^0, t^0))} h.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \leq \sup_{\Omega \cap \partial_P Q_r^-(x^0, t^0)} h &\leq \sup_{\Omega \cap \partial_P Q_r^-(x^0, t^0)} u - u(x^0, t^0) - \lambda \inf_{\Omega \cap \partial_P Q_r^-(x^0, t^0)} v \\
&\leq \sup_{\Omega \cap \partial_P Q_r^-(x^0, t^0)} u - u(x^0, t^0) - \frac{C}{4} \lambda r^2 \left(|(x^0)'|^\alpha + r^\alpha \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and this proves the theorem. \square

5. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR

In this section we assume that the boundary condition g is independent of t .

Let $u_\infty \in H^1(D)$ such that $u_\infty - g \in H_0^1(D)$ and $u_\infty \geq 0$ a.e. in D , be the solution of the elliptic obstacle problem written in the variational inequality form

$$(5.1) \quad \int_D (\nabla u_\infty \cdot \nabla(v - u_\infty) + f(x)(v - u_\infty)) dx \geq 0$$

for all $v \in H^1(D)$ such that $v - g \in H_0^1(D)$ and $v \geq 0$ a.e. in D .

In this section we denote by $\lambda_1 > 0$ the first eigenvalue of the operator $-\Delta$ in the domain D with Dirichlet boundary condition on ∂D .

Lemma 4. *We have*

$$(5.2) \quad \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)} \leq e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}$$

for $0 < t \leq T$.

Proof. Testing (5.1) by $u(\cdot, t)$ we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \int_D (\nabla u_\infty(x) \cdot \nabla(u - u_\infty)(x, t) + f(x)(u(x, t) - u_\infty(x))) dx \geq 0$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$.

Taking $w = u_\infty + g$ in (3.6) we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad \langle \partial_t u(\cdot, t), u_\infty - u(\cdot, t) \rangle_{H^{-1}(D), H_0^1(D)} \\ + \int_D (\nabla u(x, t) \cdot \nabla(u_\infty - u)(x, t) + f(x)(u_\infty(x) - u(x, t))) dx \geq 0$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$.

Adding (5.3) and (5.4) together we obtain

$$(5.5) \quad \langle \partial_t u(\cdot, t), u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty \rangle_{H^{-1}(D), H_0^1(D)} + \int_D |\nabla(u - u_\infty)(x, t)|^2 dx \leq 0$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$.

By (5.5), independence of u_∞ from t and Poincaré inequality for the domain D we obtain

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^2 + 2\lambda_1 \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^2 \leq 0$$

for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$.

By multiplying (5.6) by $e^{2\lambda_1 t}$ and integration in t we prove the lemma. \square

Lemma 5. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for $v \in C^{1,1}(B)$ we have*

$$(5.7) \quad \|v\|_{C(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C \|v\|_{C^{1,1}(B)}^{\frac{n}{n+4}} \|v\|_{L^2(B)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}}.$$

Proof. There exists $C > 0$ such that for $x \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $0 < r < 1$ and closed half-space V we have

$$(5.8) \quad Cr^n \leq |(x + V) \cap B_r(x) \cap B|.$$

Let us denote $M = [v]_{C^{1,1}(B)}$ then we have for $x, y \in B$

$$(5.9) \quad |v(y) - v(x) - \nabla v(x) \cdot (y - x)| \leq M|y - x|^2.$$

Let us assume that $v(x) > 0$ and let us denote

$$V_x = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid z \cdot \nabla v(x) \geq 0 \right\}.$$

For $y \in (x + V_x) \cap B$ because $(y - x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \geq 0$ using (5.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) - v(y) &\leq v(x) + \nabla v(x) \cdot (y - x) - v(y) \\ &\leq |v(x) + \nabla v(x) \cdot (y - x) - v(y)| \leq M|y - x|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $x \in B$ and $y \in (x + V_x) \cap B$ we have

$$(5.10) \quad v(x) - M|y - x|^2 \leq v(y).$$

Let us denote

$$(5.11) \quad r_x = \min\left(1, \left(\frac{1}{2M}v(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

Then for $y \in B_{r_x}(x) \cap B$ we have

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{1}{2}v(x) \leq v(x) - M|y - x|^2.$$

From (5.10) and (5.12) it follows that for $x \in B$ and $y \in (x + V_x) \cap B_{r_x}(x) \cap B$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}v(x) \leq v(y).$$

For $x \in B$ integrating for $y \in (x + V_x) \cap B_{r_x}(x) \cap B$ we have

$$(5.13) \quad |(x + V_x) \cap B_{r_x}(x) \cap B| (v(x))^2 \leq 4 \int_{(x+V_x) \cap B_{r_x}(x) \cap B} (v(y))^2 dy.$$

By (5.8) and (5.13) for $x \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}$ we have

$$(5.14) \quad Cr_x^n (v(x))^2 \leq 4 \|v\|_{L^2(B)}^2.$$

By (5.11) and (5.14) we have

$$C \left(\min\left(1, \left(\frac{1}{2M}v(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \right)^n (v(x))^2 \leq 4 \|v\|_{L^2(B)}^2.$$

It follows that either

$$v(x) \leq C_1 \|v\|_{L^2(B)}$$

or

$$v(x) \leq C_1 M^{\frac{n}{4+n}} \|v\|_{L^2(B)}^{\frac{4}{4+n}}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.15) \quad |v(x)| &\leq C_1 \max\left(\|v\|_{L^2(B)}, M^{\frac{n}{4+n}} \|v\|_{L^2(B)}^{\frac{4}{4+n}}\right) \\ &= C_1 \max\left(\|v\|_{L^2(B)}^{\frac{n}{4+n}}, M^{\frac{n}{4+n}}\right) \|v\|_{L^2(B)}^{\frac{4}{4+n}} \leq C_2 \|v\|_{C^{1,1}(B)}^{\frac{n}{4+n}} \|v\|_{L^2(B)}^{\frac{4}{4+n}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $v(x) = 0$ the inequality (5.15) holds trivially and in the case $v(x) < 0$ by considering $-v$ instead of v the inequality (5.15) follows.

From (5.15) by considering supremum for $x \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}$ we obtain (5.7) and this proves the lemma. \square

Corollary 1. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for $\epsilon > 0$ and $v \in C^{1,1}(D)$ we have*

$$\|v\|_{C(D_\epsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{\frac{n}{n+4}}} \|v\|_{C^{1,1}(D)}^{\frac{n}{n+4}} \|v\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}}$$

where D_ϵ is defined in (1.2).

Proof. Let $0 < r < 1$ and $v \in C^{1,1}(B_r)$. Let us define $v_r(x) = v(rx)$ for $x \in B$. Using (5.7) we compute

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|v\|_{C(B_{\frac{r}{2}})} &= \|v_r\|_{C(B_{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq C \|v_r\|_{C^{1,1}(B)} \|v_r\|_{L^2(B)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} \\ &\leq C_1 (C_2 \max(1, r^2) \|v\|_{C^{1,1}(B_r)})^{\frac{n}{n+4}} \left(\frac{1}{r^{\frac{n}{2}}}\|v\|_{L^2(B_r)}\right)^{\frac{4}{n+4}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{r^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}} \|v\|_{C^{1,1}(B_r)}^{\frac{n}{n+4}} \|v\|_{L^2(B_r)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $v \in C^{1,1}(D)$ and $x \in D_\epsilon$. Then we have $B_\epsilon(x) \subset D$ and using (5.16) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |v(x)| &\leq \|v\|_{C(B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(x))} \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}} \|v\|_{C^{1,1}(B_\epsilon(x))}^{\frac{n}{n+4}} \|v\|_{L^2(B_\epsilon(x))}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}} \|v\|_{C^{1,1}(D)}^{\frac{n}{n+4}} \|v\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof of the corollary. \square

Lemma 6. *For $\epsilon > 0$, $M > 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$ there exists $A > 0$ such that if u is a solution in $D \times (0, T]$, $0 \leq f \leq \Lambda$ and $u \leq M$ in $D \times (0, T]$ then for $\epsilon^2 < t \leq T$ we have*

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{C(D_{2\epsilon})} \leq A \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} e^{-\frac{4}{n+4}\lambda_1 t}.$$

Proof. By the known regularity result outlined in Subsection 3.2 there exists $A_1 > 0$ depending on ϵ , M and Λ such that $\|u_\infty\|_{C_x^{1,1}(D_\epsilon)} \leq A_1$ and

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{C_x^{1,1}(D_\epsilon)} \leq A_1 \text{ for } \epsilon^2 < t \leq T.$$

For $\epsilon^2 < t \leq T$ using Corollary 1 and Lemma 4 we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{C(D_{2\epsilon})} &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}} \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{C_x^{1,1}(D_\epsilon)}^{\frac{n}{n+4}} \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D_\epsilon)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}} (\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{C_x^{1,1}(D_\epsilon)} + \|u_\infty\|_{C_x^{1,1}(D_\epsilon)})^{\frac{n}{n+4}} \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}} (2A_1)^{\frac{n}{n+4}} \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{\frac{2n}{n+4}}} (2A_1)^{\frac{n}{n+4}} e^{-\frac{4}{n+4}\lambda_1 t} \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} \\ &= A \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} e^{-\frac{4}{n+4}\lambda_1 t} \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 7. *There exists $C > 0$ such that if $\lambda > 0$, $f \geq \lambda|x'|^\alpha$, u_1 and u_2 are solutions in $D \times (0, T]$, $\epsilon > 0$ and*

$$\|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} < C\lambda\epsilon^{2+\alpha}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ (x, t) \in D_\epsilon \times (\epsilon^2, T] \mid \right. \\ \left. C\lambda(d_P^-(x, t), \{u_1 > 0\})^2 ((d_P^-(x, t), \{u_1 > 0\}))^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha) \right. \\ \left. > \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} \right\} \subset \{u_2 = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 1 there exists $C > 0$ such that if $(x, t) \in D \times (0, T]$, $u_2(x, t) > 0$ and $Q_r^-(x, t) \subset\subset D \times (0, T]$ then we have

$$\sup_{\Omega_{u_2} \cap \partial_P Q_r^-(x, t)} u_2 \geq u_2(x, t) + C\lambda r^2(r^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha).$$

Thus if $(x, t) \in D \times (0, T]$, $u_2(x, t) > 0$, $Q_r^-(x, t) \subset\subset \{u_1 = 0\}$ and $C\lambda r^2(r^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha) \geq \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])}$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sup_{\Omega_{u_2} \cap \partial_P Q_r^-(x, t)} u_1 = \sup_{\Omega_{u_2} \cap \partial_P Q_r^-(x, t)} (u_2 - (u_2 - u_1)) \\ &\geq \sup_{\Omega_{u_2} \cap \partial_P Q_r^-(x, t)} u_2 - \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} \\ &\geq u_2(x, t) + C\lambda r^2(r^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha) - \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} \\ &> C\lambda r^2(r^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha) - \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Thus if $(x, t) \in D \times (0, T]$, $Q_r^-(x, t) \subset\subset \{u_1 = 0\}$ and $C\lambda r^2(r^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha) \geq \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])}$ then $u_2(x, t) = 0$.

For $(x, t) \in D \times (0, T]$ set

$$d = d_P^-((x, t), \{u_1 = 0\}^c).$$

Let $r = \frac{1}{2}d$ then it follows that if

$$\frac{C\lambda}{4}d^2\left(\frac{1}{2^\alpha}d^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha\right) > \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])}$$

then $u_2(x, t) = 0$.

This proves that

$$(5.17) \quad \left\{ (x, t) \in D \times (0, T] \mid \frac{C\lambda}{2^{2+\alpha}}d^2(d^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha) > \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} \right\} \subset \{u_2 = 0\}.$$

Let $(x, t) \in D_\epsilon \times (\epsilon^2, T]$ then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} d &= d_P^-((x, t), \{u_1 = 0\}^c) = d_P^-((x, t), \{u_1 > 0\} \cup (D \times (0, T])^c) \\ &= \min(d_P^-((x, t), \{u_1 > 0\}), d_P^-((x, t), (D \times (0, T])^c)) \\ &\geq \min(d_P^-((x, t), \{u_1 > 0\}), \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$(5.18) \quad d^2(d^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha) \geq \min\left((d_P^-((x, t), \{u_1 > 0\}))^2((d_P^-((x, t), \{u_1 > 0\}))^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha), \epsilon^{2+\alpha}\right).$$

So by (5.17) and (5.18), if

$$\|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} < \frac{C\lambda}{2^{2+\alpha}}\epsilon^{2+\alpha}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ (x, t) \in D_\epsilon \times (\epsilon^2, T] \mid \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{C\lambda}{2^{\alpha+2}}(d_P^-((x, t), \{u_1 > 0\}))^2((d_P^-((x, t), \{u_1 > 0\}))^\alpha + |x'|^\alpha) \right. \\ &\quad \left. > \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} \right\} \subset \{u_2 = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

and this finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Definition 1 (Parabolic Hausdorff Distance). For $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ we define

$$\text{dist}_P^-(A, B) = \inf \left\{ r \geq 0 \mid A \subset B^r \text{ and } B \subset A^r \right\}$$

where

$$A^r = \left\{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid d_P^-(x, t, A) \leq r \right\}$$

and B^r is defined similarly.

Lemma 8. There exists $C > 0$ such that if $\lambda > 0$, $f \geq \lambda|x'|^\alpha$, u_1 and u_2 are solutions in $D \times (0, T]$, $\epsilon > 0$ and

$$(5.19) \quad \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} < C\lambda\epsilon^{2+\alpha}$$

then

$$\text{dist}_P^-(A_\epsilon \cup \{u_1 > 0\}, A_\epsilon \cup \{u_2 > 0\}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{C\lambda} \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} \right)^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}$$

where $A_\epsilon = (D_\epsilon \times (\epsilon^2, T])^c$.

Proof. Let $C > 0$ be as in Lemma 7. Let us define

$$r_0 = \left(\frac{1}{C\lambda} \|u_2 - u_1\|_{C(D \times (0, T])} \right)^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}.$$

Because (5.19) holds, from Lemma 7 we have that

$$\left\{ (x, t) \in A_\epsilon^c \mid d_P^-(x, t, \{u_1 > 0\}) > r_0 \right\} \subset \{u_2 = 0\}.$$

Considering the complement of both sides we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_\epsilon \cup \{u_2 > 0\} &\subset A_\epsilon \cup \{u_2 = 0\}^c \\ &\subset A_\epsilon \cup \left\{ (x, t) \in A_\epsilon^c \mid d_P^-(x, t, \{u_1 > 0\}) > r_0 \right\}^c \\ &= A_\epsilon \cup \left\{ (x, t) \in A_\epsilon^c \mid d_P^-(x, t, \{u_1 > 0\}) \leq r_0 \right\} \\ &\subset A_\epsilon \cup \left\{ (x, t) \in A_\epsilon^c \mid d_P^-(x, t, A_\epsilon \cup \{u_1 > 0\}) \leq r_0 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid d_P^-(x, t, A_\epsilon \cup \{u_1 > 0\}) \leq r_0 \right\} \\ &= (A_\epsilon \cup \{u_1 > 0\})^{r_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar inclusion holds with place of u_1 and u_2 exchanged.

From the definition of dist_P^- it follows that

$$\text{dist}_P^-(A_\epsilon \cup \{u_1 > 0\}, A_\epsilon \cup \{u_2 > 0\}) \leq r_0$$

and this finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Theorem 2. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $M > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$. There exists a $\tau_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ (both depending on D , n , ϵ , M , λ , Λ , α , u_0 and u_∞) such that if $\tau_0 < \tau \leq T$, $\lambda|x'|^\alpha \leq f \leq \Lambda$, u is a solution in $D \times (0, T]$ and $u \leq M$ in $D \times (0, T]$ then

$$\text{dist}_P^-(A_\epsilon(\tau) \cup \{u > 0\}, A_\epsilon(\tau) \cup \{u_\infty > 0\}) \leq C e^{-\frac{4}{n+4} \frac{1}{2+\alpha} \lambda_1 \tau}$$

where $A_\epsilon(\tau)$ is defined in (1.1).

Proof. By Lemma 6 if $2(\frac{\epsilon}{3})^2 < \tau \leq T$ then for $\tau - (\frac{\epsilon}{3})^2 < t \leq T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot, t) - u_\infty\|_{C(D_{\frac{2}{3}\epsilon})} &\leq C_1 \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} e^{-\frac{4}{n+4} \lambda_1 t} \\ &\leq C_1 \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} e^{\frac{4}{n+4} \lambda_1 (\frac{\epsilon}{3})^2} e^{-\frac{4}{n+4} \lambda_1 \tau}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(5.20) \quad \|u - u_\infty\|_{C(D_{\frac{2}{3}\epsilon} \times (\tau - (\frac{\epsilon}{3})^2, T])} \leq C_2 \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} e^{-\frac{4}{n+4}\lambda_1\tau}.$$

By Lemma 8 if

$$(5.21) \quad \|u - u_\infty\|_{C(D_{\frac{2}{3}\epsilon} \times (\tau - (\frac{\epsilon}{3})^2, T])} < C\lambda\epsilon^{2+\alpha}$$

then

$$(5.22) \quad \text{dist}_P^-(A_\epsilon(\tau) \cup \{u > 0\}, A_\epsilon(\tau) \cup \{u_\infty > 0\}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{C\lambda} \|u - u_\infty\|_{C(D_{\frac{2}{3}\epsilon} \times (\tau - (\frac{\epsilon}{3})^2, T])}\right)^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}.$$

One may see that if

$$\tau_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{n+4}{4} \ln\left(\frac{C_2}{C\lambda} \frac{3^{2+\alpha}}{\epsilon^{2+\alpha}} \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}}\right) < \tau$$

then the right hand side of (5.20) is smaller than the right hand side of (5.21).

Thus if $\max(2(\frac{\epsilon}{3})^2, \tau_1) < \tau \leq T$ then from (5.20) and (5.22) it follows that

$$\text{dist}_P^-(A_\epsilon(\tau) \cup \{u > 0\}, A_\epsilon(\tau) \cup \{u_\infty > 0\}) \leq \left(\frac{C_2}{C\lambda} \|u_0 - u_\infty\|_{L^2(D)}^{\frac{4}{n+4}} e^{-\frac{4}{n+4}\lambda_1\tau}\right)^{\frac{1}{2+\alpha}}$$

and this proves the theorem. \square

Acknowledgments: The author was a long-term visitor to KTH Royal Institute of Technology and would like to thank this institute for their support and hospitality. Also support by the Institut Mittag-Leffler (Djursholm, Sweden) is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Caffarelli, A. Petrosyan and H. Shahgholian, *Regularity of a free boundary in parabolic potential theory*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (2004), no. 4, 827–869.
- [2] L.C. Evans, “Partial differential equations,” Second edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 19. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. xxii+749 pp. ISBN 978-0-8218-4974-3
- [3] G.M. Lieberman, “Second order parabolic differential equations,” World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996. xii+439 pp. ISBN 981-02-2883-X
- [4] A. Petrosyan, H. Shahgholian and N. Uraltseva, “Regularity of free boundaries in obstacle-type problems,” Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 136. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. x+221 pp. ISBN 978-0-8218-8794-3
- [5] T. Roubíček, *A generalization of the Lions-Temam compact imbedding theorem*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. 115 (1990), no. 4, 338–342.
- [6] K. Yeressian, *Nondegeneracy in the obstacle problem with a degenerate force term*, accepted for publication in Interfaces and Free Boundaries.
- [7] K. Yeressian, *Obstacle problem with a degenerate force term*, submitted.

(Karen Yeressian) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
100 44 STOCKHOLM
SWEDEN
E-mail address, Karen Yeressian: kareny@kth.se